

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
POLLUTION REPORT

I. HEADING

Date: September 3, 1999

Subject: Pollution Report for the Removal Action at the Ohio
Drum Reconditioning Co. Inc. site, Cleveland, Cuyahoga
County, Ohio

From: Joseph Fredle, OSC, Region V ERB, Westlake, OH

To: K. Mould, UESPA, OSWER, Wash. DC.....(VIA LAN)
R. Karl, USEPA, Chief ERB, Chicago, IL.....(VIA LAN)
J. El-Zein, USEPA, Chief, RS-1.....(VIA LAN)
B. Messenger, USEPA, ESS, Chicago, IL.....(VIA LAN)
T. Turner, USEPA, ORC, Chicago, IL.....(VIA LAN)
K. Clouse, Ohio EPA, Columbus, OH.....(E-Mail)
R. Beals, Ohio EPA, Twinsburg, OH....(fax 216-487-0769)

POLREP NO. 2 - PRP-Lead

II. BACKGROUND

Site NO: 0526
Response Authority: CERCLA
State Notification: OEPA
Start Date: 01/18/99
Completion Date:

US EPA RECORDS CENTER REGION 5



439769

III. SITE INFORMATION

A. Incident Category

CERCLA Incident Category: Abandoned Drum
Reconditioning Facility

B. Site Description

See POLREP #1 - PRP lead dated 5/5/99.

IV. RESPONSE INFORMATION

A. Removal Activities

1. As of March 15, 1999, a total of 67 truckloads of PCB-contaminated soil and sediments have been shipped by the PRPs to Wayne Disposal to be landfilled.
2. Confirmation samples show that PCB contamination still exist (in the 300 to 1,000 ppm range) along the north

edge of the swamp.

3. March 31 - PRPs claim they have "completed the work as defined in the Remedial Action Plan".
4. April 22 - EPA informs the PRPs that the work at the site is not complete and that additional work will have to be done.
5. May 1999 - PRPs do core sampling to attempt to determine the extent of the contamination that is remaining at the site. Samples show it does not spread any farther than 20 feet to the east, 10 feet to the north, and 10 feet to the west. The only area in question is the area to the northwest.
6. July 26 - EPA and PRPs meet in Chicago. PRPs still feel they have spent enough money on the cleanup and are proposing to develop a risk assessment that will show that they can leave the remaining contamination on site. OSC does not agree to this approach and the meeting ends with PRPs still expressing the need for a risk assessment.

B. Next Steps

1. The OSC will mobilize an EPA contractor to the site to finish the cleanup on the swamp property if the PRPs do not begin the final stage of this cleanup by 9/15/99. The OSC requests that ORC inform the PRPs of this possibility.